

# Mandibular exercises with hyperboloid decrease pain in individuals with temporomandibular dysfunction: randomized, blinded clinical trial

Exercícios mandibulares com hiperboloide diminui a dor em indivíduos com disfunção temporomandibular: ensaio clínico randomizado e cego

<sup>1</sup>Cintia Moraes Gutierrez <sup>1</sup> Fernanda Cardoso Nakamoto <sup>1</sup> Leticia Neves Mode <sup>1</sup> Margarete Nobilo <sup>1</sup> Lais Silva Ferreira <sup>1</sup> Taisi Antunes da Cunha <sup>1,2</sup> Alcylene Carla dos Santos <sup>1</sup> Daniela Aparecida Biasotto-Gonzalez

<sup>1</sup>Program in Rehabilitation Sciences, Universidade Nove de Julho (UNINOVE), São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil. <sup>2</sup>State University of Bahia (UNEB), Salvador, Bahia, Brazil

## INTRODUCTION

Temporomandibular dysfunction (TMD) is characterized by a set of clinical alterations, which may present articular, muscular or mixed components1. The most common and limiting clinical manifestation is orofacial pain. Exercise has been proposed as a tool in the treatment of TMD, being effective in reducing pain1,2. The Hyperboloid is a proprioceptive device, created and developed to assist in the treatment of conditions involving the stomatognathic system2. This myofunctional device is widely used for the treatment of patients with temporomandibular dysfunction (TMD), however the literature is still scarce regarding its use2, 3. Thus, this pilot study aimed to evaluate and compare the effects and clinical difference of proprioceptive treatment with hyperboloid associated with tongue-on-palate exercise versus proprioceptive treatment with hyperboloid on pain intensity in individuals with TMD.

## METHODOLOGY

- Randomized blinded clinical trial (CAAE 13991413.4.0000.5511; ClinicalTrials registration NCT02021357);
- Thirty-three subjects with TMD randomly allocated into two groups: G1 (hyperboloid (figure 1) combined with tongue-in-palate exercise), G2 (hyperboloid), G3 (control);
- Treatment protocol of 12 sessions (Table 2), with pre- and post-treatment assessment;
- Outcome assessed: Pain intensity (Visual Analog Scale VAS);
- ANOVA mixed analysis of variance for repeated measures was used to check for interactions between groups and treatments, with Bonferroni adjustment and Tukey posthoc test for pairwise comparisons and the significance level of 0.05.



## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The treatment groups showed a clinically important difference in the pain intensity outcome, i.e., there was a decrease of at least  $30\%^{4,5}$  (Table 2). Both treatments were effective and there was no difference between them.

Outcome	Group	Pre	Post	р
EVA (cm)	G1	4.88±1.45	1.11±1.51	0.003*
	G2	4.36±1.47	0.86±0.81	0.001*
	G3	4.23±0.54	3.95±1.24	0.51
Outcome	Group	Pre	Post	р

Source: the authors

Table 1 - Pain intensity (VAS) of the groups before and after the interventions.

Table 2 - Protocol performed by groups G1 and G2, with a 1-minute interval between each exercise. G1 performed 15 repetitions of the mouth opening exercise with tongue on palate after each interval.

8 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Exercise (6 repetitions each)		
Squeezing between incisors		
Pinching between the first molars on the right		
Pinching between the first molars on the left		
Protrusive median squeeze		
Retrusive median tightening.		
Lateral median squeeze to the right		
Lateral median squeeze to the left		
Sliding to the right		
Sliding to the left		

Figure 1 - https://www.ortocentrosaude.com.br/hiperboloide-instrumento-de-mastigação-



## CONCLUSION/FINAL CONSIDERATIONS

Proprioceptive treatment with hyperboloid associated or not with tongue-in-palate mouth opening exercise decreases pain intensity with clinically important differences. These results demonstrate that the hyperboloid can be used safely and effectively for the treatment of TMD patients, and that the inclusion of the tongue-in-palate mouth opening exercise does not bring additional benefits.



Armijo-Olivo, S, Pitance L, Singh V et al. Effectiveness of Manual Therapy and Therapeutic Exercise for Temporomandibular Disorders: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Phys Ther. 2016; 96(1):9-25.

Cheida AP (1997). Hiperbolóide: instrumento de mastigação. J Bras Ortodontia Ortop Maxilar 2(11): 49-53.

Giannasi LC, Batista SRF, Matsui MY, Hardt CT, Gomes CP, Oliveira Amorim JB et al 2014 Effect of a hiperbolid mastication apparatus for the treatment of severe sleep bruxism in a child with cerebral palsy: Long-term follow-up. J Bodyw Mov Ther 18(1):62-7.

Calixtre LB, Oliveira AB, Alburquerque-Sendín F, Armijo-Olivo S 2020 What is the minimal important difference of pain intensity, mandibular function, and headache impact in patients with temporomandibular disorders? Clinical significance analysis of a randomized controlled trial. Musculoskelet Sci Pract 46:102-8.

Kropmans T, Dijkstra P, Stegenga B, Stewart R, de Bont L 2000 Smallest detectable difference of maximal mouth opening in patients with painfully restricted temporomandibular joint function. Eur J Oral Sci 108(1):9-13.